



Admission Overseeing Committee

ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ

REPORT OF THE ADMISSION OVERSEEING COMMITTEE UNDER
SECTION 5 (8) & 10 OF THE KARNATAKA EDUCATIONAL
INSTITUTIONS (REGULATION OF ADMISSION AND
DETERMINATION OF FEE) ACT, 2006

15TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2017

JUSTICE ANAND BYRAREDDY ----- CHAIRMAN
FORMER JUDGE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

IN CONSULTATION WITH THE

PRINCIPAL CHIEF SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION,
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA -- MEMBER

THE VICE CHANCELLOR,
VISVESWARAYA TECHNOLOGICAL
UNIVERSITY: BELAGAVI -- MEMBER

IN THE MATTER OF COMPLAINTS AS REGARDS WITHHOLDING OF
FEE PAID ON THE STUDENTS SEEKING TO SURRENDER THE
ALLOTTED SEATS.

In a series of instances, during the admissions to Engineering colleges for the academic year 2017-18, under the selection and allotment process conducted by the Karnataka Examination Authority and the Consortium of Medical, Engineering and Dental Colleges in Karnataka (COMED-K), it is found that students have been allotted particular seats in particular colleges in the initial round of counselling.

3



Admission Overseeing Committee ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ

:2:

They had also been allotted a seat in a better college, in the subsequent round of counselling. Such students have invariably chosen to surrender the seat allotted in the first round. In all such cases the procedure to be followed by the colleges is prescribed.

In this regard the University Grants Commission has issued a Notification, which has been circulated by this Committee to all the Private Engineering Colleges in the State. It provides for elaborate guidelines as regards remittance and refund of fees and also the prohibition against retention of documents which the colleges resort to, in order to extract penal fees for choosing to withdraw from the college.

More particularly, the relevant portion of the Notification issued under the University Grants Commission, by its Secretary, bearing No. D.O. 1-3/2007 (CPP-II) dated 6th December 2016. A copy of which is annexed to this report at **Annexure - A** specifies at paragraph 4.2 as follows:

3



Admission Overseeing Committee ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ

:3:

" 4.2 Remittance and Refund of Fees

4.2.1. No Higher Education Institution shall make it mandatory for applicants to purchase the institutional prospectus any time during the course of the programme of study. Purchasing prospectus shall be the personal choice of the student and he/she has all rights to decide against it in case he/she wishes to access the information from institutional website. As laid down in the Right to Information Act, 2005, and reiterated in the UGC Guidelines on Students' Entitlement, all Higher Education Institutions shall disclose on their website and prospectus information like the status of the institution, its affiliation, accreditation rating, physical assets and amenities course-wise sanctioned intake of students, details of faculty, membership of governing bodies and minutes of the meetings of bodies like Academic/ Executive council, sources of income and the financial situation and any other information about its functioning necessary for a student to make a fully informed choice.

4.2.2 Higher Education Institutions shall charge fees in advance only for the semester/ year in which a student is to engage in academic activities. Collecting advance fees for entire program of study or for more than one semester/ year in which a student one semester/ year in which a student is enrolled is strictly prohibited as it restricts the student from exercising other options of enrolment elsewhere. This enabling provisions is in line with the UGC guidelines on Choice-Based Credit System(CBCS) and Model Curricula which are geared towards promoting a student's inter-institutional mobility.

3



Admission Overseeing Committee

ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ

:4:

4.2.3 If a student chooses to withdraw from the program of study in which he/she is enrolled, the institution concerned shall follow the following four-tier system for the refund of fees remitted by the student.

The manner in which refund shall be made is as follows:

Sr.No.	Percentage of Refund of Aggregate fees*	Point of time when notice of withdrawal of admission is served to HEI
(1)	100%	15 days before the formally-notified last date of admission
(2)	80%	Not more than 15 days after the formally-notified last date of admission
(3)	50%	More than 15 days but less than 30 days after formally-notified last date of admission
(4)	00%	More than 30 days after formally-notified last date of admission

*(Inclusive of course fees and non-tuition fees but exclusive of caution money and security deposit)

4.2.4 In case of (1) in the table above, the Higher Education Institution concerned shall deduct an amount not more than 10% of the aggregate fees as processing charges from the refundable amount.

4.2.5 Fees shall be refunded by all Higher Education Institutions to an eligible student within fifteen days from the date of receiving a written application from him/her in this regard."

3



Admission Overseeing Committee

ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ

:5:

It is in violation of these specific guidelines that every college against whom complaints have been made of having withheld the fees paid for the academic year 2017-18; Or of having withheld the testimonials of the students. In respect of such complaints, notice and direction was issued to each such erring college by this Committee. Though the colleges have partly complied in having returned the testimonials they have not complied with the direction as to refund of the fee paid. There has been no direct response from the college.

The list of the colleges which have not complied with the direction and have erred in the above manner, the details of the complainant, the amount withheld by the college, is furnished in tabular form as per **Annexure- B**.

Though the University Grants Commission has specified the percentage of refund of the aggregate fees, depending on the time frame within which there is withdrawal of admission, in the light of the colleges having defaulted in complying with the said requirement, they

3



Admission Overseeing Committee

ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ

:6:

shall not be held entitled to withhold any percentage of amount towards processing fees or even on account of a delayed surrender of the seats in any given case.

As the above acts of the institutions is certainly an unfair practice and blatant exploitation of the student community. This Committee is well within its power to recommend appropriate penalty against the institutions.

It is also pertinent to mention that such withholding of the fee amount is in violation of the University Grants Commission (Grievance Redressal) Regulations, 2012, in respect of which independent action could be initiated by the concerned, at their discretion.

It is, therefore, recommended to the State Government to direct the Visveswaraya Technological University to address each college as specified at Annexure -B, in respect of the particular student and the amount collected, and direct it to refund the amount withheld, with interest at 18% per annum, from the date of receipt of the amount by the college till refund, within a period of 30 days from the date of such direction from the University.

8



Admission Overseeing Committee

ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ

In the event the institution fails to refund the amount as aforesaid, the University shall impose a fine of twice the amount involved. And on collection of such amount, the amount paid by the student or guardian, as the case may be, with interest thereon at 18% per annum shall be paid.

It is expected that the State Government would implement this recommendation with expedition in order not to render the law a dead letter.

(JUSTICE ANAND BYRAREDDY)
Chairperson, AOC



Admission Overseeing Committee

ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ

REPORT OF THE ADMISSION OVERSEEING COMMITTEE UNDER
SECTION 5 (8) & 10 OF THE KARNATAKA EDUCATIONAL
INSTITUTIONS (REGULATION OF ADMISSION AND
DETERMINATION OF FEE) ACT, 2006

15TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2017

JUSTICE ANAND BYRAREDDY ----- CHAIRMAN
FORMER JUDGE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

IN CONSULTATION WITH THE

PRINCIPAL CHIEF SECRETARY,
DEPARTMENT OF HIGHER EDUCATION,
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA -- MEMBER

THE VICE CHANCELLOR,
VISVESWARAYA TECHNOLOGICAL
UNIVERSITY: BELAGAVI -- MEMBER

IN THE MATTER OF COMPLAINTS AS REGARDS WITHHOLDING OF
TESTIMONIALS OF STUDENTS, ON THE STUDENTS SEEKING TO
SURRENDER THE ALLOTTED SEATS.

In a series of instances, during the admissions to Engineering colleges for the academic year 2017-18, under the selection and allotment process conducted by the Karnataka Examination Authority and the Consortium of Medical, Engineering and Dental Colleges in Karnataka (COMED-K), it is found that students have been allotted

3



Admission Overseeing Committee

ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ

:2:

particular seats in particular colleges in the initial round of counselling. They had also been allotted a seat in a better college, in the subsequent round of counselling. Such students have invariably chosen to surrender the seat allotted in the first round. In all such cases the procedure to be followed by the colleges is prescribed.

In this regard the University Grants Commission has issued a Notification, which has been circulated by this Committee to all the Private Engineering Colleges in the State provides for elaborate guidelines as regards the prohibition against retention of documents in order to extract penal fees for choosing to withdraw from the college.

More particularly, the relevant portion of the Notification issued under the University Grants Commission, by its Secretary, bearing No. D.O. 1-3/2007 (CPP-II) dated 6th December 2016. A copy of which is annexed to this report at **Annexure - A** specifies at paragraph 4.2 as follows:

“ **4.1 Verification and Non-retention of Students' Academic and Personal testimonials**

4.1.1. No institution of higher education shall insist upon a student to submit the original academic and personal certificates and testimonials like mark-sheets, school leaving certificates and other such documents at the time of submitting admission form.

3



Admission Overseeing Committee

ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ

4.1.2 In line with instructions issued by Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pension, Department of Administrative Reforms, PG & Pension, the Commission has already written to Higher Education Institutions about allowing self-attestation of documents such as mark-sheet, birth certificate etc. by the applicant where there is a system of verification of the original certificates and testimonials at any stage before the finalization of the process of admission.

4.1.3 Higher Education Institutions shall physically verify the originals at the time of admission of the student in his/her presence and return them immediately after satisfying themselves about their authenticity and veracity, keeping the attested copies for their record.

4.1.4 The self-attested testimonials of students shall be held valid and authentic by institution concerned and/or the affiliating university for all purposes and administrative requirements and should there be a need for physical verification at any time during the course of program of study, such verification shall be undertaken in the presence of the student and certificates and testimonials thus verified shall be returned immediately to the student.

4.1.5 Taking the certificates and testimonials into institutional custody under any circumstances or pretexts is strictly prohibited for it is a coercive tactic which can be misused for blackmailing students who wish to withdraw admission from the institute for better prospects or other compulsions.

4.1.5 In case of any suspicion over the authenticity or genuineness of the testimonials, the reference may be made to the university of the Board which issued certificates to the student and the admission be subjected to the authentication, but original certificates shall not be retained under any circumstances."

It is in violation of these specific guidelines that every college against whom complaints have been made having withheld the

8



Admission Overseeing Committee

ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ

:4:

documents submitted by the students with a demand for payment of fees for the academic year, even when students wanted to withdraw from the college. In respect of such complaints, notice and direction was issued by this Committee to each of those colleges to return the documents. But they have not been complied with the same. There has been no direct response from the college. The list of the colleges which have defaulted, the details of the students whose documents have been withheld is furnished in a tabular form as per **Annexure- B**.

Though the University Grants Commission has specified that, no institution shall retain such documents, the institutions have chosen to act in violation of the same and are holding the students to ransom, to extort fees, which is not payable.

As the above act of the institutions is certainly an unfair practice and blatant exploitation of the student community, this Committee is well within its power to recommend appropriate penalty against the institutions.

Hence, this Committee recommends to the State Government that the Visveswaraya Technological University call upon the college to return

3



Admission Overseeing Committee ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಮೇಲ್ವಿಚಾರಣಾ ಸಮಿತಿ

:5:

the documents withheld to the concerned student forthwith, within a period of three days from the date of receipt of the direction. In the event of failure on the part the college to return the documents, a fine of Rs.50,000/- in each case, shall be imposed, apart from taking coercive measures to physically recover the documents from the concerned college and to hand over the same to the concerned student.

Out of the fine so collected, a sum of Rs.40,000/- shall be paid to each concerned student as damages, for such act on the part of the college.

It is also pertinent to mention that such withholding of the testimonials is a violation of the University Grants Commission (Grievance Redressal) Regulations, 2012 in respect of which independent action could be initiated by the concerned at their discretion.

It is expected that the State Government would implement this recommendation with expedition in order not to render the law a dead letter.

(JUSTICE ANAND BYRAREDDY)
Chairperson, AOC

Annexure - A



प्रो. (डॉ.) जसपाल एस. सन्धू

सचिव

Prof. Dr. Jaspal S. Sandhu
MBBS, MS (Ortho), DSM, FAIS, FASM, FAFSM, FFIMS, FAMS
Secretary



विश्वविद्यालय अनुदान आयोग
University Grants Commission
(मानव संसाधन विकास मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार)
(Ministry of Human Resource Development, Govt. of India)

बहादुरशाह ज़फ़र मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110002
Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-110002

Ph.: 011-23239337, 23236288,
Fax : 011-23238858, email : jssandhu.ugc@nic.in

D.O. No. 1-3/2007 (CPP-II)


6th December, 2016

Dear Sir / Madam,

UGC has striven to discharge the mandate of regulating the standards of higher education given to it by the UGC Act, 1956 through issuance of regulations, guidelines, notifications and other instruments. UGC (Grievance Redressal) Regulations, 2012 and UGC Guidelines on Students' Entitlement are some of the initiatives that the Commission took to safeguard the interests and entitlements of students in higher educational institutions. UGC's public notice in 2007 articulating a procedure for resolving grievances of students related to fee refund and retention of original certificates was aimed in this direction. However, in view of contexts that have dramatically changed in the subsequent decade and unremitting flow of complaints and SOS pleas from students and parents on issues covered in public notice, UGC felt it incumbent upon itself to review its policy on this and other related student-centric matters. A Committee constituted in this regard has formulated an elaborate notification on "**Remittance and Refund of Fees and other Student Centric Issues**" which was approved by the Commission in its 519th meeting held on 15th November, 2016. The notification is available on UGC website.

Let me apprise you that UGC has taken a serious view of these matters which have been brought to its notice by students and other stakeholders and will take strict action against universities and their affiliated/constituent colleges breaching the provisions of this notification. I request you to give specific and stern instructions to various departments and colleges under your university for complete compliance of the Notification. The provisions of the Notification may also be included in the institutional website and prospectus suitably for wider dissemination.


With regards,


(Jaspal S. Sandhu)

To the Vice Chancellors of all universities

Copy to:

1. The Publication Officer, Website Division, UGC, New Delhi.
2. The Bureau Heads, UGC, New Delhi (to take action on complaints / representations concerning their universities / colleges / institutes.


(Dr. Hemang Desai)
Education Officer





University Grants Commission

Notification

on

Remittance and Refund of Fees and Other Student-centric Issues

December, 2016

1. Introduction:

One of the fundamentals of the Indian higher education system, even after the significant evolution of private sector in the domain, has remained its commitment to provide education without profit. The rationale behind this policy paradigm has been to protect the rights and entitlements of students whose financial conditions often pose a roadblock to their desire for quality higher education. For a country like India, it is but natural to promote "not-for-profit" paradigm in view of low per capita income and high poverty index. It has to be acknowledged that this apparently idealistic principle has worked to an appreciable extent in India. However, the considerable number of complaints, grievances, court cases and other references received by UGC on various coercive and shady dealings carried out by HEIs reflect that profiteering motivations still drive the operation of quite a few institutions in India. The reason behind the proliferation of such malpractices can be many, including absence of an effective and robust policy regime. However, what is most disturbing about the dismal scenario is that students are at the receiving end of this malaise. The Commission has earlier notified University Grants Commission (Grievance Redressal) Regulations, 2012 on redressal of multifarious grievances of students. But given the sheer volume of the complaints regarding non-refund of fees and retention of original certificates by

S. A. A.

HEIs and other related issues, the Commission has felt the need to notify elaborate instructions so as to curb such malpractices. Considering the seriousness of the issue, the UGC has decided to issue appropriate instructions in the form of this notification to all universities and other higher educational institutions under the purview of UGC.

2. Objective:

To prohibit coercive and profiteering institutional practices in the matters related to verification of certificates and testimonials at the time of admission, remittance of fees and refund thereof in case of cancellation of admission and other such student-centric issues.

In exercise of powers conferred by Section 12 (d) read with Section 12(j) of the UGC Act, 1956, the UGC issues this notification for adoption of standard operating procedures in the matters related to verification of certificates and testimonials at the time of admission, remittance of fees and refund thereof in case of cancellation of admission and other such student-centric issues with an aim to curb coercive and profiteering institutional practices that directly and indirectly constrain the fundamental rights of students to explore multiple opportunities and choices in terms of their academic career.

3. Application and Enforcement

1.1. The instructions contained in this notification shall be in supersession to its earlier Public Notice dated 23.04.2007. It shall be applicable to Undergraduate, Postgraduate and Research Programs run by all statutory universities recognized by UGC under Section 2 (f) of UGC Act, together with all colleges under their affiliating domain and institutions declared as deemed to be universities under Section 3 of the UGC Act.

1.2 The instructions contained in this notification shall come into force with immediate effect and shall have regulatory force on extant as well as future grievances over issues and matters covered herein.

1.3 Universities shall amend their relevant statutes, rules, regulations etc. and bring them in line with the provisions contained herein.



4. Specific Instructions and Mandatory Actions for HEIs:

The Commission herewith lays down specific instructions and consequent mandatory actions on the issues related to (1) verification and non-retention of students' academic and personal certificates and testimonials, (2) remittance of fees by students and refund thereof by the institution concerned in the event of the cancellation of admission by the student and (3) an effective grievance redressal mechanism to be installed by the affiliating university for quick and effective resolution of grievances in this regard;

4.1 Verification and Non-retention of Students' Academic and Personal testimonials

4.1.1 No institution of higher education shall insist upon a student to submit the original academic and personal certificates and testimonials like mark-sheets, school leaving certificates and other such documents at the time of submitting admission form.

4.1.2 In line with instructions issued by Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances & Pension, Department of Administrative Reforms, PG & Pension, the Commission has already written to HEIs about allowing self-attestation of documents such as mark-sheets, birth certificate etc. by the applicant where there is a system of verification of the original certificates and testimonials at any stage before the finalization of the process of admission.

4.1.3 HEIs shall physically verify the originals at the time of admission of the student in his/her presence and return them immediately after satisfying themselves about their authenticity and veracity, keeping the attested copies for their record.

4.1.4 The self-attested testimonials of students shall be held valid and authentic by institution concerned and/or the affiliating university for all purposes and administrative requirements and should there be a need for physical verification at any time during the course of program of study, such verification shall be undertaken in the presence of the student and certificates and testimonials thus verified shall be returned immediately to the student.

B. Anand

4.1.5 Taking the certificates and testimonials into institutional custody under any circumstances or pretexts is strictly prohibited for it is a coercive tactic which can be misused for blackmailing students who wish to withdraw admission from the institute for better prospects or other compulsions.

4.1.5 In case of any suspicion over the authenticity or genuineness of the testimonials, the reference may be made to the university or the Board which issued certificates to the student and the admission be subjected to the authentication, but original certificates shall not be retained under any circumstances

4.2 Remittance and Refund of Fees

4.2.1 No HEI shall make it mandatory for applicants to purchase the institutional prospectus any time during the course of the program of study. Purchasing prospectus shall be the personal choice of the student and he/she has all rights to decide against it in case he/she wishes to access the information from institutional website. As laid down in the Right to Information Act, 2005, and reiterated in the UGC Guidelines on Students' Entitlement, all HEIs shall disclose on their website and prospectus information like the status of the institution, its affiliation, accreditation rating, physical assets and amenities, course-wise sanctioned intake of students, details of faculty, membership of governing bodies and minutes of the meetings of bodies like Academic/Executive council, sources of income and the financial situation and any other information about its functioning necessary for a student to make a fully informed choice.

4.2.2 HEIs shall charge fees in advance only for the semester/year in which a student is to engage in academic activities. Collecting advance fees for entire program of study or for more than one semester/year in which a student is enrolled is strictly prohibited as it restricts the student from exercising other options of enrolment elsewhere. This enabling provision is in line with the UGC guidelines on Choice-Based Credit System (CBCS) and Model Curricula which are geared towards promoting a student's inter-institutional mobility.

B. A.

4.2.3 If a student chooses to withdraw from the program of study in which he/she is enrolled, the institution concerned shall follow the following four-tier system for the refund of fees remitted by the student.

Sr. No	Percentage of Refund of Aggregate fees*	Point of time when notice of withdrawal of admission is served to HEI
(1)	100%	15 days before the formally-notified last date of admission
(2)	80%	Not more than 15 days after the formally-notified last date of admission
(3)	50%	More than 15 days but less than 30 days after formally-notified last date of admission
(4)	00%	More than 30 days after formally-notified last date of admission

**(Inclusive of course fees and non-tuition fees but exclusive of caution money and security deposit)*

4.2.4 In case of (1) in the table above, the HEI concerned shall deduct an amount not more than 10% of the aggregate fees as processing charges from the refundable amount.

4.2.5 Fees shall be refunded by all HEIs to an eligible student within fifteen days from the date of receiving a written application from him/her in this regard.

4.3 Constitution and Functions of Grievance Redressal Committee (GRC)

4.3.1 All universities shall mandatorily constitute a "Grievance Redressal Committee" (GRC) mandated by UGC (Grievance Redressal) Regulations 2012 to address and effectively resolve complaints, representations and grievances related to any of the issues mentioned in this notification, among others articulated in the regulations. The GRC shall do all it takes to ensure that its



departments and affiliated colleges unfailingly comply with all the instructions articulated in this notification.

4.3.2 The GRC shall follow procedures outlined in UGC (Grievance Redressal) Regulations 2012 for resolution of the students' grievances in above matters.

5. Punitive Actions by Commission against defaulting HEIs

5.1 Any act of retention of original certificate or non-refund of fee by any college shall be deemed to have been committed by the affiliating university which shall only be liable for punitive action by the UGC.

5.2 On receiving any complaint from students or aggrieved person on any of the issues outlined in this notification, the Commission shall forward it to the GRC of the university concerned for feedback.

5.3 The GRC of the university concerned shall furnish to Commission the "Action Taken Report" and/or status of the grievance resolution within a period of twenty days from the date of receipt of the Commission's missive.

5.4 On basis of the feedback of the GRC, if the Commission feels the defaulting HEI is wilfully contravening the provisions contained in this Notification, it shall take all such punitive actions as notified in Regulation 9 of UGC (Grievance Redressal) Regulations 2012 against the defaulting HEIs.

5.5 Additionally, the Commission shall write to accreditation agencies about the misconduct and malpractices of the erring HEI with the request to take all such actions as deemed fit by them to ensure compliance.

(Jaspal S. Sandhu)
Secretary



**Details of complaints received for the year 2017-18 by the Admission
Overseeing Committee, relating to Refund of fees from Engineering
Colleges, (Annexure B)**

Sl No.	Name of the Student	Admission Order Number	Name of the Colleges	Course	Nature of Complaint	Amount
1	Mr. Muniyappa f/o Ms. Anusha M	538 (College)	Cambridge Institute of Technology	B E	Refund of Fees	35000
2	Mr. Vinith	1700043410 (CET)	Oxford College of Engineering	B E	Refund of Fees	25000
3	P K Suresh f/o P S Supreeth Bharadwaj	1700035280 (CET)	Oxford College of Engineering	B E	Refund of Fees	25000
4	Mr. Nihar Mehta	A0333000253 (COMED-K)	Dayananda Sagar Institute of Technology	B E	Refund of Fees	160600
5	Niranjan B S	1700060501 (CET)	S J C Institute Of Technology, Chikabalapur	B E	Refund Of Fees	20500
6	Mr. Partha Datta f/o Subhodip Datta	COMED-K	Dayanand Sagar Academy of Technology & Management, Bangalore	B E	Refund of Fees	149820
7	Mr. Piyush Shah	A06304000083 (COMED-K)	Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering	B E	Refund of Fees	160660
8	Mr. Deshmukh Pankaj	1700060191(CET)	East West Institute of Technology	B E	Refund of Fees	29500
9	Mr. Abhishek Banerjee f/o Ritwik Banerjee	COMED-K	Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering	B E	Refund of Fees	320000
10	Ms. Siri N Rao	A06324000106 (COMED-K)	Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering	B E	Refund of Fees	160600
11	Mr. Akshay Kumar R Melinamani	819 (College)	Cambridge Institute of Technology	B E	Refund of Fees	35000
12	Mr. Nalin T S	509 (College)	Cambridge Institute of Technology	B E	Refund of Fees	35000
13	Mrs. Shailashree Sanghi m/o Ms. Bhavana Sanghi	Cet No. CL319	Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering	B E	Refund of Fees	37630
14	Mr. Anand B C f/o Amrutha A	1700061787 (CET)	East West Institute of Technology	B E	Refund of Fees	10000

B. Anand

15	Mr. Adarsh Kumar	1700019447 (CET)	East West Institute of Technology	B E	Refund of Fees	29500
16	Mr. Dhruvanarayana S	Receipt No.2527,2523, College (01.08.2017)	Dayanand Sagar College of Engineering	B E	Refund of Fees	37490
17	Mr. Tushar M Kashikar	1700048422 (CET)	Cambridge Institute of Technology	B E	Refund of Fees	10000
18	Mr. Surya f/o P S Harshitha	1700052768 (CET)	The Oxford College of Engineering, Bangalore	B E	Refund of Fees	25000
19	Mr. Vishwas L	1700026759 (CET)	Dr. Ambedkar Institute of Technology, Bangalore	B E	Refund of Fees	121405
20	Bhuvana C	Cet No. SH 409	Oxgord College of Engineering, Bangalore	B E	Refund of Fees	50000

B. S.

**Details of complaints received for the year 2017-18 by the Admission
Overseeing Committee, relating to Return of Original Documents from
Engineering Colleges, (Annexure B)**

Sl no	Name of the Student	Admission Order Number	Name of the Colleges	Course	Nature of Complaint
1	Mr. Shivakumar M	1600008636 (DCET)	Dayananda Sagar Academy of Technology	B E	Return of Documents
2	Keerthi A C	1700048346 (CET)	Oxford College of Engineering	B E	Return of Documents
3	Vivian Anthony Das	1600014095 (CET 2016)	Acharya Institute of Technology	B E	Return of Documents
4	Ms. Pooja Kumari	1700070035 (CET)	Sapthagiri College of Engineering	B E	Return of Documents
5	MR. Ullas	1700011163 (CET)	MVJ College of Engineering	B E	Insisting for payment of Tuition Fee from SC Candidate
6	Mr. Ravi Halappanavar	1700012036 (CET)	MVJ College of Engineering	B E	Insisting for payment of Tuition Fee from Cat-1 Candidate

B. Anand

